Evaluation Form Results
22nd Annual Counseling Center Conference
Innovations in Clinical Assessment – David A Jobes, Ph.D.

Professions of attendees

0%	Certified Counselor	43%	Psychologist
10%	Marriage & Family Therapist	15%	Social Worker
10%	Professional Counselor	14%	Student
1%	Psychiatrist	6%	Other

91% of attendees completed evaluation forms (75 out of 82)

Evaluation of Presenter

Overall rating of presenter	(0% did not respond)			
54% Outstanding	0% Below average			
46% Better than average	0% Poor			
Knowledge of subject matter/expertise	(1% did not respond)			
96% Very well informed	0% Not well informed			
03% Adequately informed	0% Very poorly informed			
Attitude toward subject	(0% did not respond)			
95% Enthusiastic	0% Routine Interest			
05% Rather interested	0% Disinterested			
Attitude toward participants	(0% did not respond)			
54% Very helpful and understanding	07% Routine, neutral			
39% Interested	00% Distant, cold, aloof			
Clarity	(0% did not respond)			
36% Extremely clear	0% Unclear			
64% Clear	0% Confusing			
Organization	(0% did not respond)			
60% Very organized/efficient	4% Somewhat disjointed			
36% Adequately organized	0% Jumbled & Muddled			

Evaluation of presentation content and form

1. Objective One:							
60%	Strongly Agree	0%	Undecided	0%	Strongly Disagree		
40%	Agree	0%	Disagree	0%	No Response		
	Ü		<i>5</i>		1		
2. Objective Two:							
44%	Strongly Agree	5%	Undecided	0%	Strongly Disagree		
51%	Agree	0%	Disagree	0%	No Response		
	C		C		1		
3. Objective Three:							
74%	Strongly Agree	1%	Undecided	0%	Strongly Disagree		
25%	Agree	0%	Disagree	0%	No Response		
	0		\mathcal{L}		1		

	4. Objective Four:						
	21%	Strongly Agree	25%	Undecided	0%	Strongly Disagree	
	41%	Agree	5%	Disagree	8%	No Response	
	-	e and Coverage of thi	-		-		
	43%	Strongly Agree	8%	Undecided	0%	Strongly Disagree	
	44%	Agree	4%	Disagree	1%	No Response	
	6. The workshop provided specific guidance and ideas which I can apply in my Job						
	responsibi		C				
	50%	Strongly Agree	4%	Undecided	1%	Strongly Disagree	
	44%	Agree	1%	Disagree	0%	No Response	
7. The value I derived from this presentation was well worth the time required of me to							
	participate	<u>-</u>	rescittatio	n was wen wor	tii tiic t	anne required of the to	
	58%	Strongly Agree	7%	Undecided	1%	Strongly Disagree	
	32%	Agree	1%	Disagree	1%	No Response	
	3270	115100	170	Disagree	170	1 to Response	
Your	Impressions I						
	Conference a	ccessibility & Parkin	g				
	76%	Excellent	4%	Average/Fair		A Real Disappointment	
	17%	Very good	0%	Poor	3%	No Response	
	Conference F						
	51%	Excellent	9%	Average/Fair		A Real Disappointment	
	36%	Very good	0%	Poor	4%	No Response	
	Facility confe						
	51%	Excellent	11%	Average/Fair		A Real Disappointment	
	35%	Very good	1%	Poor	2%	No Response	
Visual/Auditory							
	52%	Excellent	17%	Average/Fair	0%	A Real Disappointment	
	29%	Very good	1%	Poor	3%	No Response	
Breaks/Refreshments							
	46%	Excellent	17%	Average/Fair		A Real Disappointment	
	33%	Very good	1%	Poor	3%	No Response	
		- organization and fri			0001	4 D 4 D 4	
	75%	Excellent	04%	Average/Fair		A Real Disappointment	
	16%	Very good	00%	Poor	05%	No Response	